DREAM AS A TOOL OF PSYCHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS IN RUSSIAN FICTION

Muqumiy Fotima Sadullo qizi
First-Year Master's Student, Bukhara State University,
Bukhara, Uzbekistan

F.R. Murtazaeva
Doctor of Philological Sciences, Professor,
Department of Russian Language and Literature,
Bukhara State University,
Bukhara, Uzbekistan

R.F. Bekmetov
Doctor of Philological Sciences, Associate Professor,
Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russian Federation
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20459408

Abstract

The article examines the artistic functions of dreams as a means of psychological analysis in Russian fiction. The study focuses on the role of dream episodes in revealing the inner world of literary characters, their moral conflicts, subconscious fears, and emotional experiences. The research is based on the novels *Crime and Punishment* by Fyodor Dostoevsky, *Anna Karenina* by Leo Tolstoy, and *The Master and Margarita* by Mikhail Bulgakov. The methodology combines textual, interpretative, and comparative analysis. The findings demonstrate that dream episodes perform several interconnected functions, including psychological, symbolic, prophetic, and compositional ones. Dreams serve as a unique narrative mechanism that allows writers to represent unconscious mental processes and deepen the philosophical dimension of literary works.

Keywords: dream, psychologism, Russian literature, symbolism, subconsciousness, literary analysis, Dostoevsky, Tolstoy, Bulgakov.

Introduction

The representation of the inner life of a literary character has long remained one of the central concerns of fiction. While external actions and dialogue reveal only the visible aspects of human behavior, dreams provide access to deeper psychological layers that often remain hidden from both other characters and the protagonists themselves. As a result, dream episodes have become an important artistic device for exploring the complexities of human consciousness.

Interest in dreams significantly increased after the emergence of psychoanalytic theories. According to Freud, dreams constitute “the royal road to the unconscious” (Freud, 2010, p. 608), revealing desires, fears, and emotional tensions that are suppressed during waking life. Jung later emphasized the symbolic nature of dreams and their connection with archetypal structures of the human psyche (Jung, 1991, p. 45). Although literary dream scenes cannot be reduced to psychological theories, these concepts have influenced literary criticism and contributed to a deeper understanding of dream symbolism in fiction.

Russian literature provides particularly rich material for investigating the artistic functions of dreams. Writers such as Dostoevsky, Tolstoy, and Bulgakov frequently used dream episodes not merely as narrative ornaments but as integral components of psychological characterization and philosophical reflection.

The aim of this article is to identify the major functions of dreams in Russian fiction and to demonstrate their role in the psychological analysis of literary characters.

Methods

The study employs a qualitative literary approach combining textual analysis, interpretative reading, and comparative methods.

The corpus consists of three canonical Russian novels: Dostoevsky's *Crime and Punishment*, Tolstoy's *Anna Karenina*, and Bulgakov's *The Master and Margarita*. These texts were selected because they represent different stages in the development of Russian psychological prose and contain significant dream episodes that contribute directly to characterization and narrative development.

The analysis focuses on four principal aspects:

1. The psychological function of dreams.
2. The symbolic structure of dream imagery.
3. The prophetic role of dreams in narrative organization.
4. The compositional significance of dream episodes.

The interpretation of dream scenes is supported by concepts developed in literary psychology, psychoanalytic criticism, and theories of literary psychologism.

Results

One of the most important functions of dreams in Russian fiction is the revelation of a character's internal conflict. Through dreams, writers gain access to hidden emotional processes that remain concealed during conscious life.

A classic example can be found in Dostoevsky's *Crime and Punishment*. Raskolnikov's dream about the beaten horse is among the most analyzed dream scenes in world literature. The episode occurs before the murder of the pawnbroker and serves as a psychological forecast of the protagonist's future actions.

The dream reveals the contradiction between Raskolnikov's intellectual theory and his moral nature. While his rational mind attempts to justify violence in pursuit of a higher purpose, his emotional response to the suffering horse demonstrates compassion and empathy. The dream therefore exposes the incompatibility of his ideological convictions with his ethical instincts.

This episode illustrates what Bakhtin described as Dostoevsky's interest in the "unfinishedness" of human consciousness (Bakhtin, 1984, p. 59). The dream does not simply reflect psychological reality; it dramatizes the struggle between competing aspects of the self.

Dreams in Russian literature frequently employ symbolic structures that transcend literal meaning. Dream imagery creates a multilayered system of signs through which authors communicate philosophical and psychological ideas.

In Dostoevsky's novel, the horse symbolizes innocence, suffering, and vulnerability. The act of violence committed against the animal foreshadows the moral violence that Raskolnikov will later inflict upon another human being.

Tolstoy also employs symbolic dream imagery in *Anna Karenina*. Recurring dream motifs involving a peasant figure contribute to the atmosphere of anxiety surrounding Anna's destiny. The peasant appears as an obscure but persistent symbol associated with death and inevitability. Although Anna struggles to interpret the meaning of these dreams, they gradually become linked to her tragic end.

In both novels dream symbols function as a bridge between conscious experience and deeper psychological realities.

Another important function of dreams is their prophetic dimension. Dream episodes frequently anticipate future events and create a sense of inevitability within the narrative structure.

In *Anna Karenina*, dreams repeatedly foreshadow catastrophe. Their significance becomes fully apparent only retrospectively, after the unfolding of the tragic plot. Tolstoy uses dream imagery to create psychological tension and to prepare readers for future developments without explicitly revealing them.

The prophetic quality of dreams is not necessarily supernatural. Rather, it reflects unconscious knowledge possessed by the character. Dreams reveal fears and intuitions that conscious thought attempts to ignore.

In Bulgakov's *The Master and Margarita*, dream-like states occupy a special position between reality and fantasy. The boundaries separating actual events, visions, memories, and dreams become increasingly unstable.

Such ambiguity serves an important philosophical purpose. Bulgakov questions the limits of rational perception and demonstrates that human experience cannot be reduced to empirical reality alone. Dream imagery allows the author to introduce metaphysical themes while preserving psychological credibility.

As a result, dreams become a means of exploring existential questions concerning truth, freedom, guilt, and redemption.

Discussion

The analysis demonstrates that dream episodes perform far more than decorative functions in Russian fiction. They constitute an essential mechanism of psychological characterization and narrative construction. In the examined works, dreams become a unique artistic medium through which authors gain access to aspects of human consciousness that remain concealed in ordinary speech and action. Unlike direct narration, dream episodes allow writers to represent the complex interaction between conscious thought, emotional experience, and subconscious impulses.

The examined texts reveal a common tendency: dreams provide access to psychological truths unavailable through ordinary narration. In each of the analyzed novels, dream episodes expose inner conflicts that characters either fail to recognize consciously or attempt to suppress. This finding supports the view that dreams serve as an effective literary instrument for depicting the multidimensional nature of human personality. Through dream imagery, writers are able to reveal moral anxieties, hidden fears, traumatic memories, and unresolved psychological tensions that shape the behavior of literary characters.

At the same time, the comparative analysis demonstrates significant differences in the artistic use of dreams by individual authors. Dostoevsky employs dream episodes primarily as a means of moral and psychological self-analysis. In *Crime and Punishment*, dreams expose the contradiction between Raskolnikov's intellectual theory and his innate moral consciousness. The dream of the beaten horse reveals a level of compassion and empathy that directly conflicts with the protagonist's rational justification of violence. Consequently, dreams in Dostoevsky's fiction function as a form of ethical revelation, uncovering truths that the conscious mind attempts to deny. They become a space in which the struggle between reason and conscience is represented with particular intensity.

Tolstoy approaches dream representation from a different perspective. In *Anna Karenina*, dreams are closely connected with intuition, emotional foreboding, and the anticipation of future events. Rather than exposing dramatic internal conflicts, Tolstoy's dream motifs gradually construct an atmosphere of anxiety and inevitability. Recurrent dream images become psychological signals that reflect the protagonist's growing awareness of approaching tragedy. In this sense, dreams serve not only as instruments of characterization but also as important narrative devices that organize the emotional structure of the novel. Through dream symbolism, Tolstoy emphasizes the complex relationship between individual choice and destiny.

Bulgakov's treatment of dreams differs from both Dostoevsky's ethical focus and Tolstoy's psychological fatalism. In *The Master and Margarita*, dream-like experiences frequently blur the boundary between reality, imagination, memory, and metaphysical vision. Dream structures contribute to the creation of a narrative world in which rational explanations are often insufficient. As a result, dreams become a means of exploring philosophical questions concerning truth, freedom, good and evil, and the nature of human existence. Bulgakov uses oneiric elements not merely to reveal individual psychology but to expand the ontological dimensions of the text itself.

Another important observation concerns the symbolic nature of dream imagery. In all three novels, dreams are constructed through systems of symbols rather than realistic representations of everyday experience. Animals, mysterious figures, recurring objects, and fragmented scenes acquire meanings that extend beyond their literal presence. This symbolic dimension transforms dreams into interpretative spaces where psychological and philosophical ideas are condensed into vivid artistic images. Such symbolism increases the semantic complexity of the literary text and encourages multiple levels of interpretation.

The findings also highlight the close relationship between dream narratives and the tradition of Russian literary psychologism. Russian writers have long been concerned with the exploration of the inner life of the individual, and dreams provide a particularly effective means of achieving this goal. Through dream episodes, authors can represent psychological processes dynamically rather than descriptively. Instead of explaining a character's emotions directly, they dramatize those emotions through symbolic experiences that engage both the intellect and the imagination of the reader.

Furthermore, dream episodes contribute significantly to narrative structure. They often appear at crucial moments of the plot, preceding major decisions, moral crises, or turning points in a character's life. In this way, dreams function as structural markers that guide the reader's interpretation of subsequent events. Their placement within the narrative is rarely accidental; rather, it reflects the author's intention to emphasize key psychological and thematic developments.

Overall, the results of this study support the understanding of dreams as multifaceted literary phenomena that combine psychological, symbolic, philosophical, and compositional functions. The works of Dostoevsky, Tolstoy, and Bulgakov demonstrate that dream narratives are capable of generating meanings that extend far beyond the immediate context of individual episodes. Through dreams, Russian fiction explores the hidden dimensions of human consciousness and transforms personal experience into a subject of broader philosophical reflection. These findings confirm that dream representation remains one of the most productive forms of literary psychologism and an important means of investigating the complexities of human nature.

Conclusion

The present study confirms that dreams occupy a significant place in Russian fiction as a powerful tool of psychological analysis. Dream episodes allow authors to penetrate the hidden dimensions of human consciousness and reveal emotional experiences that remain inaccessible through ordinary narration. By presenting fears, anxieties, moral dilemmas, and subconscious desires in symbolic form, dreams provide valuable insights into the inner world of literary characters.

The analysis of Crime and Punishment, Anna Karenina, and The Master and Margarita demonstrates that dream representations perform several interconnected functions. First, they serve as a means of revealing internal conflicts and psychological tension. Second, dream imagery operates symbolically, expressing complex emotional and philosophical meanings through metaphorical structures. Third, dreams frequently possess a prophetic dimension, anticipating future events and contributing to the development of the plot. Finally, dream

episodes play an important compositional role by connecting different narrative levels and strengthening the structural unity of the literary text.

The comparative examination of the selected novels reveals both common and distinctive features in the use of dream motifs. Dostoevsky employs dreams primarily as instruments of moral self-analysis, exposing the contradiction between intellectual theories and ethical consciousness. Tolstoy uses dreams to create an atmosphere of psychological foreboding and to emphasize the inevitability of human destiny. Bulgakov, in contrast, transforms dream-like experiences into a means of exploring metaphysical reality and questioning the boundaries between the rational and the irrational. Despite these differences, all three authors regard dreams as an essential mechanism for understanding human nature.

The findings of this study also suggest that dream narratives contribute significantly to the tradition of Russian literary psychologism. Through dream episodes, writers are able to depict unconscious processes, deepen character development, and enrich the philosophical content of their works. Dreams function not merely as narrative episodes or decorative elements but as complex artistic structures that generate additional layers of meaning and interpretation.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates that the dream motif remains one of the most effective literary devices for representing the complexity of human consciousness. The works of Dostoevsky, Tolstoy, and Bulgakov confirm that dreams possess substantial artistic, psychological, and philosophical potential. Further research may expand the scope of analysis by examining dream representations in twentieth- and twenty-first-century Russian literature or by conducting comparative studies across different national literary traditions. Such investigations would contribute to a deeper understanding of the relationship between literature, psychology, and the representation of the human mind.

References

1. Bakhtin, M. M. (1984). *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
2. Bulgakov, M. (2004). *The Master and Margarita*. London: Penguin Books.
3. Dostoevsky, F. (2008). *Crime and Punishment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
4. Esin, A. B. (2011). *Psychologism in Russian Classical Literature*. Moscow: Flinta.
5. Freud, S. (2010). *The Interpretation of Dreams*. New York: Basic Books.
6. Jung, C. G. (1964). *Man and His Symbols*. London: Aldus Books.
7. Lotman, Y. M. (1998). *The Structure of the Artistic Text*. Moscow: Iskusstvo.
8. Tolstoy, L. (2003). *Anna Karenina*. London: Penguin Classics.
9. Uspensky, B. A. (1973). *A Poetics of Composition: The Structure of the Artistic Text and Typology of a Compositional Form*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
10. Khalizev, V. E. (2004). *Theory of Literature*. Moscow: Vysshaya Shkola.