PEDAGOGIK TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI
ISSN: 3060-4923 Impact Factor –7,212

GAMIFYING SUSTAINABILITY: PEDAGOGICAL STRATEGIES FOR INTEGRATING SDGs IN EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

Kuldasheva Farida Xoljigitovna q.x.f.f.d , PhD

Nomozova Zarifa

Central Asian University of Environmental and Climate Changes Studies

(Green University)

Abstract: This paper examines the methodological frameworks for embedding the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into early childhood educational settings, specifically target cohorts aged 4 to 5 years. Given the highly abstract nature of macroeconomic, social, and ecological sustainability standards, traditional instructive modalities fail to register significant cognitive engagement within this developmental demographic. This study proposes an experiential, play-centric paradigm that translates macro-level planetary targets into physical, micro-level interactive tasks. By focusing closely on SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production) and SDG 14 (Life Below Water), the research details the design, execution, and empirical outcomes of classroom-based gamified interventions. The findings demonstrate that structured play using tactile scrap materials and simulation games enhances eco-empathy, waste categorization literacy, and proactive green behaviors in preschool-aged children, providing a long-term foundation for sustainable civic habits.

Keywords: Early Childhood Education, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Gamification, Experiential Learning, Eco-Empathy, Waste Sorting.

1. Introduction

The United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development outlines seventeen interconnected global targets designed to construct an ecologically viable, equitable, and prosperous global ecosystem. While institutional frameworks traditionally target adult stakeholders, municipal policy makers, and industrial sectors, the foundational behavior Modification required to realize these long-term targets inherently relies on educational systems. Early Childhood Education (ECE) represents a critical sensory and cognitive developmental window. Habits, social mores, and ethical paradigms established between the ages of 3 and 6 possess high longitudinal permanence. However, conveying macro-environmental issues such as carbon footprints, resource depletion, and systemic inequality to a 4-year-old child introduces unique pedagogical barriers. Abstract lexicon and complex socio-ecological dependencies are completely unaligned with their cognitive architecture.

To bridge this gap, educators must operationalize sustainability through physical and ludic (play-based) pedagogical strategies. Gamification the systemic integration of game design components into non-game learning environments converts distant environmental benchmarks into local, emotionally resonant activities. This paper establishes a theoretical framework for this methodology, analyzing specific experimental implementations within preschool curriculums and examining how playful waste interaction shapes early sustainable identities.

2. Theoretical Framework: play-based environmental pedagogy

The integration of sustainability concepts into early education is grounded in Jean Piaget's cognitive developmental theory and Lev Vygotsky's socio-cultural learning framework. Children within the preoperational stage (ages 2 to 7) process information primarily through perceptual experience, sensory manipulations, and symbolic play. They cannot mentally manipulate abstract

logic without a concrete physical anchor. Therefore, environmental principles cannot be successfully taught through linear lectures or text-heavy representations.

By embedding SDGs within play structures, learning becomes an autotelic activity meaningful and rewarding in itself. When a child participates in a structured game, they enter what Johan Huizinga termed the 'magic circle,' a temporary spatial domain governed by specific, immersive rules. Within this safe domain, complex real-world dynamics such as systemic pollution or resource limitations can be simplified into kinetic challenges. The primary cognitive objective shifts from memorizing scientific definitions to solving actionable physical problems, generating intuitive comprehension and behavioral habits before formal vocabulary acquisition occurs.

3. Implementation Case: The Eco sorting Waste Management Challenge

To empirically observe the efficacy of gamified SDG integration, a targeted curriculum intervention was conducted focusing on SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production). The objective was to cultivate a fundamental understanding of materials, lifecycle pathways, and waste minimization among a cohort of 4-to-5-year-old learners

3.1 Material methodology and spatial setup

The classroom environment was structurally adapted to feature four distinct, brightly color-coded categorization repositories labeled in both localized vernacular and English: Plastic and Plastic (Blue), Paper and Paper (Green), Glass and Glass (Yellow), and General Waste Other (Red). The physical stimuli consisted of thoroughly sanitized, non-hazardous household waste items including clean PET bottles, cardboard cylinders, discarded paper packaging, aluminum foil wrappers, and plastic caps.

> **Pedagogical Note***Activity Focus: The physical sorting apparatus served as an interactive spatial dashboard, turning an otherwise mundane household task into a high-visibility, team-oriented classroom sport.*

3.2 Execution workflow

The pedagogical intervention was executed via a three-tiered sequencing system designed to scaffold learning without inducing cognitive overload:

1. The Narrative Priming: Prior to the physical game, the educator introduced an anthropomorphic narrative featuring marine wildlife and forest animals dealing with habitat pollution. This established immediate emotional relevance and eco-empathy.

2. The Kinetic Sorting Relay: Students were divided into collaborative peer groups. Acting as 'Planet Guardians,' they raced in a time-delimited relay format to select unsorted scrap items from a central chaotic pile and transport them to the appropriate colored repository.

3. The Peer Verification Protocol: Upon completion of the sorting phase, the group collectively audited the repositories under the guidance of the educator. Misallocated items were evaluated together, allowing the children to discuss the material qualities of the objects.

4. Results and Discussion

Qualitative and quantitative observational metrics collected during the intervention revealed a substantial increase in environmental literacy and behavioral adjustments. Prior to the gamified sessions, less than 20% of the participants could differentiate between plastic and paper packaging

materials in a standard classroom setting. Following three iterations of the Eco-Sorting Challenge, accurate identification and spontaneous correct sorting behavior escalated to 85%.

Crucially, the educational outcomes extended beyond the institutional classroom environment. Parent feedback surveys indicated strong behavior spillover effects, with children actively monitoring domestic waste disposal, demanding household recycling bins, and verbally reminding family members to turn off unused electronics or avoid single-use plastics. This strongly indicates that when sustainability is anchored via positive emotional play, it shifts from an imposed compliance mechanism into an intrinsic element of the child's identity.

5. Conclusion

The integration of Sustainable Development Goals into preschool frameworks is not merely viable; it is an essential prerequisite for fostering a sustainable generation. By substituting abstract top-down lecturing with immersive, physical, and emotionally grounded gamified activities, educators can successfully translate planetary urgencies into actionable childhood habits. Early childhood centers must systematically move away from passive learning models, using the physical environment and creative gamification as primary tools to cultivate the eco-literate citizens of tomorrow.

References

1. Garrett, B. (2026). Place-based environmental education: A capabilities approach using head, heart and hands. *Journal of Moral Education*, *55*(2), 112–128.
2. Guillen Mandujano, G. (2026). Gamification as a catalyst to the circular economy. *International Journal of Sustainability in Economic Coordination*, *12*(1), 45–62.
3. Kopnina, H. (2020). Education for sustainable development (ESD): The turn away from 'sustainable development' to conservation education. *International Journal of Early Childhood Environmental Education*, *7*(3), 24–41.
4. Pramling Samuelsson, I. (2011). Why we should begin early with ESD: The role of early childhood education. *International Journal of Early Childhood*, *43*(2), 103–118. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13158-011-0034-x
5. Pinzón-Salazar, S. (2026). Integrating gamification and artificial intelligence in sustainability education: A conceptual framework toward the 2030 Agenda. *Frontiers in Education*, *11Trace*, 1727938.
6. Siraj-Blatchford, J., Smith, K. C., & Samuelsson, I. P. (2010). *Education for sustainable development in the early years*. OMEP.