BUILDING ITALIAN VOCABULARY THROUGH SEMANTIC CONNECTIONS AND CONTEXTUAL PRACTICE

Allamuradova Dildora Saxadulla qizi
UzSWSLU, 4th year student
Email: dildoraallamuradova@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22806424

Abstract

This article examines how semantic fields and word associations can be used to organise Italian vocabulary and connect word knowledge with contextual practice. It distinguishes meaning relations, including synonymy, antonymy, hyponymy and meronymy, from broader thematic associations. Through illustrative Italian examples, the article proposes classroom activities that combine vocabulary mapping, sentence construction and retrieval practice. Its central argument is that grouping words is most useful when learners also consider grammatical form, collocation and communicative purpose. The discussion is conceptual and pedagogical rather than an experimental report; the activities presented are proposals, not evidence of measured learning gains.

Keywords: Italian vocabulary, semantic fields, word associations, lexical relations, contextual practice, vocabulary learning.

Introduction

Learning an Italian word involves more than recognising its translation. Learners also need to understand what it refers to, how its form changes and which other words it commonly accompanies. Knowing treno (train), for example, becomes more useful when a learner can understand prendere il treno (to take the train) and ask for un biglietto (a ticket). Vocabulary teaching therefore needs to connect individual items with meaningful patterns of use.

Semantic fields provide one way to organise these connections. They bring together words within a conceptual domain, such as transport, food or emotions. Word associations offer another perspective by linking an item with related experiences, actions or expressions. The source article introduces these approaches as ways to support Italian vocabulary learning [10]. This adaptation develops their practical application and separates illustrative teaching proposals from claims that would require empirical evidence.

The purpose is to show how vocabulary networks can lead to contextual practice. Rather than treating a completed word map as the final learning outcome, the approach proposed here uses it as preparation for short conversations, descriptions and later recall.

Conceptual Background and Approach

The bibliography accompanying the source article includes work on classification learning [1], associative storage and retrieval [2], semantic fields [3], lexical semantics [6] and second-language word associations [9]. These areas provide a background for discussing how words may be organised and connected. They should not, however, be treated as direct evidence that the particular Italian activities proposed here produce a measurable improvement.

A semantic field is a group of lexical items associated with a shared area of meaning. Within the field of transport, treno (train), autobus (bus), bicicletta (bicycle) and aereo (airplane) identify different means of travel. A thematic network may extend beyond these category members to include stazione (station), biglietto (ticket) and partire (to depart). These items belong together in a travel situation, although they do not all stand in the same semantic relation.

Word association activities ask learners to respond to a stimulus word with another word or expression. This type of task is discussed in the literature on association responses and language learning [4, 8, 9]. A response to casa (house or home) might be famiglia (family), cucina (kitchen) or abitare (to live). The teacher can then ask the learner to explain the connection, making the activity an opportunity to discuss meaning rather than simply to produce a long list.

This article uses conceptual explanation and illustrative examples. It reports no participant sample, intervention or assessment dataset. The activities are designed as suggestions for Italian lessons, and any claim about their effectiveness would require a separate study.

Lexical Relations in Italian

Synonymy concerns similarity in meaning. Felice and contento can both describe positive feelings, but their suitability depends on context and expression. Learners should compare short sentences rather than assume that near-synonyms are interchangeable everywhere. Sono contento del risultato (I am pleased with the result) provides more information about use than a simple translation pair.

Antonymy concerns opposition in meaning. Caldo and freddo illustrate a contrast in temperature, while aperto and chiuso describe contrasting states. Contrasts can be practised in sentences such as Il negozio è aperto (The shop is open) and Il negozio è chiuso (The shop is closed).

Hyponymy connects a broader category with more specific members. Animale is a general term, while cane, gatto and cavallo name particular types of animal. Meronymy describes a whole–part relationship: ruota, motore and volante are parts of an automobile. Keeping these relations separate helps learners explain how two items are connected.

Collocation concerns words that conventionally occur together. Expressions such as prendere il treno (to take the train), fare colazione (to have breakfast) and comprare un biglietto (to buy a ticket) give learners useful units for communication. Vocabulary records should also include relevant grammatical information, such as il biglietto and i biglietti, so that semantic organisation does not replace attention to form.

Practical Applications

A first activity is a small vocabulary map centred on a familiar situation. For a railway journey, learners can organise words under transport, places, objects and actions. They then label selected connections: a treno is a means of transport; a stazione is a place; a biglietto is something a passenger buys. The purpose is to make the relationship explicit.

A second activity turns the map into a short dialogue. One learner plays a passenger and another a ticket-office employee. Prompts can include Vorrei un biglietto per Roma (I would like a ticket to Rome) and A che ora parte il treno? (What time does the train leave?). The task requires learners to select words for a communicative purpose and combine them with sentence patterns.

A third activity uses associations as the starting point for explanation. The teacher presents mare (sea), and learners may suggest spiaggia (beach), nuotare (to swim) or vacanza (holiday). Each learner explains one connection or writes a short sentence. Different plausible responses are accepted, while inaccurate meanings and unnatural combinations are discussed.

A fourth activity checks recall after the initial lesson. Learners close their notes and reconstruct a small part of the network, complete a dialogue or describe a new situation using selected items. At a later lesson, the teacher changes the prompt so that students must retrieve and apply the vocabulary again. These checks can reveal which words still require practice; they are not, by themselves, evidence that one teaching method is superior.

Discussion and Limitations

The proposed sequence links organisation, interpretation and use. A vocabulary map makes relationships visible; a sentence or dialogue tests whether learners can apply those relationships. This

distinction matters because a student may recognise a word in a list yet struggle to use it in conversation. Work on vocabulary in written production [5] and the semantic-field literature listed in the source article [7] provide relevant areas for further reading, but they do not establish outcomes for the present activities.

Teachers should keep each network manageable and choose vocabulary appropriate to the learners' level. Closely related words may need clear contrasting contexts so that learners do not confuse them. Associations should also be discussed rather than treated as universally fixed: casa may suggest family to one learner and a particular room to another.

The main limitation is the absence of empirical testing. A future classroom study could compare vocabulary recall and contextual use before instruction, immediately afterwards and after a delay. It should describe the participants, materials, comparison conditions and scoring criteria. Until such evidence is available, the activities should be presented as pedagogical proposals rather than proven improvements.

Conclusion

Semantic fields and word associations offer a practical framework for organising Italian vocabulary. Their classroom value depends on what learners do with the resulting connections. Distinguishing lexical relations, recording grammatical forms and practising common expressions can turn a word list into material for meaningful communication. The approach developed here therefore combines small vocabulary networks with dialogues, explanations and later recall. It provides a basis for lesson design while leaving claims about learning gains open to empirical investigation.

References

1. Medin, D. L., & Schaffer, M. M. (1978). Context theory of classification learning. Psychological Review, 85(3), 207–238.
2. Murdock, B. B. (1982). A theory for the storage and retrieval of item and associative information. Psychological Review, 89(6), 609–626.
3. Nordquist, R. Semantic field definition. ThoughtCo. https://www.thoughtco.com/semantic-field-1692079
4. Istifçi, I. (2010). Playing with words: A study on word association responses. The Journal of International Social Research, 3(10), 360–368.
5. Viera, R. T. (2018). Vocabulary knowledge in the production of written texts: A case study on EFL language learners. Revista Tecnológica ESPOL–RTE, 30(3), 89–105. http://www.rte.espol.edu.ec/index.php/technologica/article/view/628/377
6. Geeraerts, D. (2010). Theories of Lexical Semantics. Oxford University Press.
7. Esemuratova, G. (2022). Semantic field of words in linguistic article. European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies, 2.
8. Prihatini, A. (2020). Word association and its function at the constituent understanding on the language learning. International Seminar on Language, Education, and Culture. KnE Social Sciences, 18–25.
9. Meara, P. M. (2009). Connected Words: Word Associations and Second Language Vocabulary Acquisition. John Benjamins Publishing.
10. Xolmirzayeva, Sevinch Sherzod qizi. Semantic fields and word associations in Italian: Enhancing vocabulary learning. Source article supplied for adaptation.