ISSN:3030-332X
Impact factor: 9,493
International journal of scientific researchers
2nd International Conference on Green Economy & Sustainability 2.0, 30 April 2026

HEALTH ENVIRONMENT & LIFE SCIENCES

Djalilov Jakhongir[1],
Dr. Pavani Tirumala Grudanti[2],
Dr. Isha Kampoowale[3]
Dr. N. S. V. N. Raju[4]

[1]Masters of Business Administration, Faculty of Management, Sharda University Uzbekistan
jalilovj97@gmail.com

[2,3,4]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management, Sharda University Uzbekistan
pavani.grudanti@shardauniversity.uz;
isha.kampoowale@shardauniversity.uz;
shyam.nagala@shardauniversity.uz

Abstract: These advances not only enhance healthcare service, but also help to discover long-term solutions to environmental challenges. The report takes a multifaceted strategy approach, highlighting the need of integrating scientific research, ecological management, and public health policy. Furthermore, the research investigates the role of governments, corporations, and international organizations in encouraging sustainable practices that balance health and environmental goals. It contends that business accountability, regulatory frameworks, and investments in green technology are critical to long-term social well-being. The findings imply that obtaining long-term health outcomes need a comprehensive framework in which ecofriendly preservation and life science discoveries are strategically connected. Finally, this study emphasizes the need of joint action and policy integration in achieving a resilient, healthy, and sustainable future. The link between health, the environment, and life sciences has grown in importance in terms of global sustainability and economic growth. This research investigates how environmental factors impact human health outcomes, both directly and indirectly, while emphasizing the importance of life sciences in tackling developing health concerns. Air and water pollution, climate change, and environmental degradation all contribute to the growing global burden of communicable and noncommunicable illnesses, putting a strain on healthcare systems and economic output. As a result, advances in life sciences, notably in biotechnology, genomics, and environmental health studies, have allowed for more effective prevention, diagnostic, and treatment methods.

Keywords
Health, Environmental Sustainability, Life Sciences, Public Health, Climate Change, Biotechnology, Genomics, Environmental Pollution, Sustainable Development, Healthcare Innovation, Green Technology, Epidemiology, Policy Integration, Global Health

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Over the last few decades, the world has experienced an unprecedented rate of urbanization, particularly in developing countries. Cities have expanded rapidly as people migrate from rural areas in search of employment, education, and better living conditions. While this transformation has contributed to economic growth and modernization, it has also created significant environmental challenges that directly affect public health. The concentration of industries, vehicles, and population density has led to increased levels of pollution, environmental degradation, and resource depletion.

Environmental factors play a critical role in shaping human health outcomes. Air quality, water safety, waste management, and sanitation are fundamental determinants of health, particularly in urban environments where exposure to pollutants is often unavoidable. For example, poor air quality resulting from vehicle emissions and industrial activities is associated with respiratory illnesses such as asthma,

bronchitis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Similarly, contaminated water sources contribute to the spread of infectious diseases such as cholera, typhoid, and diarrhea, which remain leading causes of morbidity in many developing regions.

In addition to physical health impacts, environmental conditions also influence mental health and overall well-being. Overcrowded living conditions, noise pollution, and lack of green spaces can lead to stress, anxiety, and reduced quality of life. Therefore, environmental health is not only a matter of physical disease prevention but also a broader issue of human well-being.

Urban populations are particularly vulnerable due to the cumulative effects of multiple environmental stressors. In many developing countries, rapid urbanization has outpaced the development of infrastructure and regulatory systems. As a result, cities often lack efficient waste management systems, proper sewage treatment, and adequate environmental monitoring mechanisms. This creates a situation where residents are continuously exposed to environmental hazards without sufficient protection.

Understanding the complex relationship between environmental factors and public health is essential for designing effective policies and interventions. This study aims to explore this relationship by examining key environmental risks and their impact on health outcomes in urban populations, with a focus on developing regions where these challenges are most pronounced.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite growing awareness of environmental issues, urban populations in developing regions continue to face severe environmental health risks. One of the primary problems is the persistent exposure to high levels of pollution, which significantly increases the risk of both acute and chronic diseases. Air pollution levels in many cities exceed the limits recommended by international health organizations, while access to clean drinking water remains inconsistent.

Another critical issue is the inadequacy of waste management systems. In many urban areas, solid waste is not properly collected or disposed of, leading to the accumulation of garbage in residential areas. This creates breeding grounds for disease vectors such as mosquitoes and rodents, contributing to the spread of infectious diseases. Additionally, improper disposal of industrial and medical waste poses serious environmental and health risks.

The problem is further compounded by the lack of integrated data and research. While individual environmental factors have been studied extensively, there is limited research that examines their combined impact on public health in specific urban contexts. This lack of comprehensive data makes it difficult for policymakers to develop effective strategies for addressing environmental health issues.

Moreover, socio-economic inequalities play a significant role in determining exposure to environmental risks. Low-income populations are often more vulnerable due to their living conditions, limited access to healthcare, and lack of awareness. As a result, environmental health issues disproportionately affect marginalized communities.

The central problem addressed in this study is the insufficient understanding of how multiple environmental factors interact to influence public health in urban settings, particularly in developing regions. Addressing this problem is crucial for improving health outcomes and ensuring sustainable urban development.

1.3 Research Gap

Even though a lot of research has been done on environmental health, there are still some important gaps in the literature. First, a lot of research is done in developed countries, where the environment, infrastructure, and healthcare systems are very different from those in developing countries. Consequently, the results of these studies may not be directly relevant to urban populations in developing nations.

Second, current research frequently analyzes environmental factors in isolation. For instance, research on air pollution might neglect the effects of water quality or waste management. This disjointed method makes it harder to see how several environmental risks affect public health as a whole.

Third, there is a lack of empirical data connecting environmental exposure to particular health outcomes in urban populations. Although theoretical models are available, there is a necessity for data-driven research that offers definitive evidence of these relationships. Lastly, there isn't much research that takes into account the views and experiences of people who live in the area. To make effective interventions, you need to know how people see environmental risks and how those risks affect their daily lives. This study seeks to fill these gaps by offering a comprehensive analysis of environmental factors and their effects on public health in urban areas of developing regions.

1.4 Research Questions

This study is guided by the following research questions:

1. What is the relationship between environmental conditions and public health outcomes in urban areas?
2. Which environmental factors have the most significant impact on health?
3. What challenges hinder effective environmental management in developing regions?
4. How can environmental health risks be reduced through policy interventions?

1.5 Research Objectives

The main objective of this study is to examine the impact of environmental factors on public health in urban populations. The specific objectives are:

- To identify key environmental risks affecting urban populations
- To analyze the relationship between environmental exposure and health outcomes
- To evaluate current environmental management practices
- To propose recommendations for improving environmental health

1.6 Significance of the Study

The findings of this study are relevant and important to many stakeholders. For policy makers, it provides critical information that they can use to develop appropriate environment/public health policies. The study will also help policy makers identify the biggest environmental risks that need attention. For urban planners and developers, it will emphasize the role of environment in our lives hence the need to take it into account when developing sustainable cities. Policy makers in the academic world will benefit from this study by contributing to the existing body of knowledge and also providing a platform for future research. Members of the public stand to benefit from this study since it will make them aware of environmental diseases and hence change their behavior to avoid exposing themselves to environmental risks.

1.7 Scope of the Study

The research concentrates on urban demographics in developing areas, where environmental issues are most evident. It looks at three main environmental issues: how clean the air and water are and how well trash is handled. These factors were chosen because they have a big effect on public health and are important in cities. The research employs both primary and secondary data sources. Surveys are used to get primary data, and reports and publications are used to get secondary data. The analysis concentrates on discerning patterns and correlations between environmental factors and health outcomes. The study, on the other hand, doesn't go into much detail about rural areas or certain industrial sectors. It only looks at how general environmental conditions affect people who live in cities.

1.8 Originality and Ethics of the Study

This study is novel in its comprehensive approach to environmental health. This research differs from prior studies by analyzing multiple environmental variables concurrently and assessing their collective influence on public health. During the research process, ethical issues were given a lot of thought. Before taking part in the study, participants were told what it was about and gave their permission. Confidentiality was upheld, and all data was utilized exclusively for research objectives.

1.9 Limitations of the Study

The study has some flaws, even though it made some important contributions. The sample size is rather small, which could make it hard to apply the results to other situations. The study also uses self-reported data, which could be biased. The study's cross-sectional design also restricts the analysis of long-term trends and causal relationships. Subsequent research may mitigate these limitations by employing larger samples and longitudinal data.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Environmental health has become a major area of concern in recent years, with numerous studies highlighting the impact of environmental factors on human health. Air pollution is widely recognized as one of the leading environmental risks. Studies have shown that exposure to pollutants such as particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide can lead to respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.

Water quality is another critical factor affecting public health. Contaminated water sources are a major cause of disease in developing countries. Research indicates that lack of access to clean water contributes to high rates of diarrhea, cholera, and other waterborne diseases.

Waste management is also an important issue. Improper disposal of waste leads to environmental contamination and increased health risks. Studies emphasize the importance of efficient waste management systems in reducing disease burden.

Urbanization intensifies these challenges by increasing population density and resource demand. However, effective policy interventions and public awareness campaigns can help mitigate environmental risks.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-method approach combining quantitative and qualitative data.

Data Collection

Primary data was collected through a structured questionnaire administered to 150 urban residents. Secondary data was obtained from reports and publications.

Sampling Method

Random sampling was used to ensure representativeness.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics and correlation analysis were used to analyze the data.

Table 1: Environmental Exposure Levels

Environmental Factor	Low (%)	Moderate (%)	High (%)
Air Pollution	10	30	60
Water Quality	20	40	40
Waste Management	15	35	50

4. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

This section presents a detailed analysis of the collected data and interprets the findings in relation to the research objectives. The aim is to examine how different environmental factors influence public health outcomes in urban populations.

4.1 Overview of Environmental Exposure

The survey results indicate that a significant proportion of respondents are exposed to moderate to high levels of environmental risks. Among the three main environmental factors analyze air pollution, water quality, and waste management air pollution emerged as the most critical issue.

Table 1: Environmental Exposure Levels

Environmental Factor	Low (%)	Moderate (%)	High (%)
Air Pollution	10	30	60
Water Quality	20	40	40
Waste Management	15	35	50

Interpretation

The data shows that **60% of respondents reported high exposure to air pollution**, making it the most severe environmental concern. This can be attributed to factors such as increased vehicle emissions, industrial activities, and lack of green spaces in urban areas.

Water quality also presents a significant concern, with **40% of respondents experiencing high levels of water-related issues**. This reflects challenges such as inadequate water treatment systems and contamination from industrial and domestic waste.

Waste management is another major issue, with **50% of respondents reporting high exposure**. Improper waste disposal leads to environmental contamination and increases the risk of disease transmission.

4.2 Analysis of Health Conditions

The study further examined the prevalence of health conditions associated with environmental exposure. Respondents were asked to report common health problems experienced within their households.

Table 2: Prevalence of Health Conditions

Health Condition	Percentage (%)
Respiratory Diseases	52
Waterborne Diseases	28
Skin Conditions	20

Interpretation

The results indicate that **respiratory diseases are the most prevalent health issue**, affecting more than half of the respondents. This strongly suggests a direct link between air pollution and respiratory health problems.

Waterborne diseases account for **28% of reported health issues**, highlighting the impact of unsafe drinking water and poor sanitation. Skin conditions, although less frequent, still represent a significant portion of health problems, often associated with exposure to contaminated water and waste.

4.3 Correlation Analysis

To better understand the relationship between environmental factors and health outcomes, a correlation analysis was conducted.

Table 3: Correlation Between Environmental Factors and Health Outcomes

Environmental Factor	Correlation Coefficient
Air Pollution	0.76
Water Quality	0.69
Waste Management	0.71

Interpretation

The correlation results show a **strong positive relationship** between environmental factors and health outcomes. Air pollution has the highest correlation coefficient (**0.76**), indicating that it has the most significant impact on health, particularly respiratory diseases.

Waste management also shows a strong correlation (**0.71**), suggesting that poor waste handling contributes to various health risks, including infections and skin diseases.

Water quality, with a correlation coefficient of **0.69**, remains a critical factor, particularly in relation to waterborne diseases.

4.4 Comparative Analysis of Environmental Factors

A comparative analysis was conducted to determine which environmental factor has the greatest overall impact on public health.

Table 4: Comparative Impact of Environmental Factors

Factor	Exposure Level (High %)	Health Impact Rank
Air Pollution	60	1
Waste Management	50	2
Water Quality	40	3

Interpretation

Air pollution ranks as the most significant environmental factor affecting public health, followed by waste management and water quality. This ranking reflects both the level of exposure and the severity of associated health outcomes.

4.5 Discussion of Key Patterns

Several important patterns emerge from the data analysis:

- There is a **consistent relationship between high environmental exposure and increased health risks**
- Air pollution is the dominant factor influencing health outcomes
- Environmental risks are interconnected, meaning that poor conditions in one area often exacerbate problems in others
- Urban populations face multiple overlapping environmental hazards

These findings suggest that environmental health issues cannot be addressed in isolation. Instead, a comprehensive approach is required to manage multiple environmental risks simultaneously.

5. CONCLUSION

This study analysed environmental factors that affect public health among urban populations in a developing country. The findings of the study show that environmental pollution determines health outcomes. Specifically, air pollution, water and sanitation were the top three factors affecting health outcomes among the respondents. Air pollution was found to be the biggest environmental risk considering both level of exposure and its strong relationship with respiratory diseases. Majority of the respondents suffered from respiratory infections indicating that there is a need to improve the air we breathe. Water and sanitation were also ranked high in terms of level of exposure and its relationship with waterborne diseases. The skin problem faced by majority of the respondents can be attributed to poor waste management.

The second observation revealed by the study is that environmental risks are linked and tend to affect each other. Poor management of waste leads to water pollution while air pollution is also caused by poor waste management such as open garbage burning. Due to this interconnect Ness of environmental factors, there is need to approach environmental management from an integrated point of view.

The third observation is that environmental health risks are directly affected by the rate of urbanization. The more rapidly cities grow in terms of population and industrialization the greater the level of environmental exposure people have. Environmental regulation often struggles to keep up with the growing cities leading to little or no surveillance.

Poverty also plays a big role in determining how affected by environmental risks an individual is. Poor people provide more exposed than those living in high class neighbourhoods. This can be attributed to the location of their homes as well as lack of knowledge on the environment. Another observation made is that majority of environmental diseases can be prevented by taking care of our environment. Prevention goes a long way than cure and its applicability to environmental health cannot be overstated.

Based on my findings, in order to improve environmental health, there is need to strengthen policies regarding the environment. There also needs to be investment in better infrastructure as well as technology to reduce the levels of pollution in our environment. Public awareness is also key in preventing environmental diseases. People need to know how the environment affects them and how they can avoid exposing themselves to environmental factors that are detrimental to their health.

References

1. Abegaz, S. B., Molla, K., & Ali, S. E. (2021). Practices and challenges of household solid waste management in Woldia Town, Northeastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Health and Pollution, 11*(30), 210605. https://doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-11.30.210605
2. Arnold, B. F., & Colford, J. M., Jr. (2007). Treating water with chlorine at point-of-use to improve water quality and reduce child diarrhea in developing countries: A systematic review and meta-

analysis. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 76*(2), 354–364. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2007.76.354

3. Azizullah, A., Khattak, M. N. K., Richter, P., & Häder, D.-P. (2011). Water pollution in Pakistan and its impact on public health—A review. *Environment International, 37*(2), 479–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2010.10.007
4. Bai, X., Nath, I., Capon, A., Hasan, N., & Jaron, D. (2012). Health and wellbeing in the changing urban environment: Complex challenges, scientific responses, and the way forward. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4*(4), 465–472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2012.09.009
5. Bancessi, A., Catarino, L., José Silva, M., Ferreira, A., Duarte, E., & Nazareth, T. (2020). Quality assessment of three types of drinking water sources in Guinea-Bissau. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17*(19), 7254. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197254
6. Bilal, H., Li, X., Iqbal, M. S., Mu, Y., Tulcan, R. X. S., & Ghufran, M. A. (2023). Surface water quality, public health, and ecological risks in Bangladesh—a systematic review and meta-analysis over the last two decades. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30*(40), 91710–91728. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-28879-x
7. Fewtrell, L., Kaufmann, R. B., Kay, D., Enanoria, W., Haller, L., & Colford, J. M., Jr. (2005). Water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions to reduce diarrhoea in less developed countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 5*(1), 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01253-8
8. Fuller, R., Landrigan, P. J., Balakrishnan, K., Bathan, G., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Brauer, M., Caravanos, J., Chiles, T., Cohen, A., Corra, L., Cropper, M., Ferraro, G., Hanna, J., Hanrahan, D., Hu, H., Hunter, D., Janata, G., Kupka, R., Lanphear, B., … Yan, C. (2022). Pollution and health: A progress update. *The Lancet Planetary Health, 6*(6), e535–e547. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00090-0
9. Harpham, T. (2009). Urban health in developing countries: What do we know and where do we go? *Health & Place, 15*(1), 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2008.03.004
10. Heller, L., & Catapreta, C. A. A. (2003). Solid waste disposal in urban areas and health—the case of Belo Horizonte, Brazil. *Waste Management & Research, 21*(6), 549–556. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X0302100607
11. Kurt, O. K., Zhang, J., & Pinkerton, K. E. (2016). Pulmonary health effects of air pollution. *Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine, 22*(2), 138–143. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCP.0000000000000248
12. Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J. R., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Basu, N., Baldé, A. B., Bertollini, R., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Boufford, J. I., Breysse, P. N., Chiles, T., Mahidol, C., Coll-Seck, A. M., Cropper, M. L., Fobil, J., Fuster, V., Greenstone, M., Haines, A., … Zhong, M. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. *The Lancet, 391*(10119), 462–512. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0
13. Makoni, F. S., Ndamba, J., Mbati, P. A., & Manase, G. (2004). Impact of waste disposal on health of a poor urban community in Zimbabwe. *East African Medical Journal, 81*(8), 422–426.
14. Markevych, I., Schoierer, J., Hartig, T., Chudnovsky, A., Hystad, P., Dzhambov, A. M., de Vries, S., Triguero-Mas, M., Brauer, M., Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J., Lupp, G., Richardson, E. A., Astell-Burt, T., Dimitrova, D., Feng, X., Sadeh, M., Standl, M., Heinrich, J., & Fuertes, E. (2017). Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health: Theoretical and methodological guidance. *Environmental Research, 158*, 301–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.06.028
15. Nieuwenhuijsen, M., de Nazelle, A., Garcia-Aymerich, J., Khreis, H., & Hoffmann, B. (2024). Shaping urban environments to improve respiratory health: Recommendations for research, planning, and policy. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine, 12*(3), 247–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00329-6
16. Pitt, T. M., McHugh, T.-L. F., & Chudyk, A. M. (2021). The relationship of urban form on children's and adolescent health outcomes: A scoping review of Canadian evidence. *International*

Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(8), 4180. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084180

17. Rugel, E. J., Henderson, S. B., Carpiano, R. M., & Brauer, M. (2022). Developing indicators of age-friendly neighbourhood environments for urban and rural communities across 20 low-, middle-, and high-income countries. *BMC Public Health, 22*, 246. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12438-5
18. Schwela, D. (2000). Air pollution and health in urban areas. *Reviews on Environmental Health, 15*(1–2), 13–42. https://doi.org/10.1515/REVEH.2000.15.1-2.13
19. Shafique, S., Bhattacharyya, O., Akhter, A., & Rahman, M. M. (2024). Effective community-based interventions to prevent and control infectious diseases in urban informal settlements in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Systematic Reviews, 13*, 239. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-024-02651-9
20. Shuvo, F. K., Feng, X., Akaraci, S., & Astell-Burt, T. (2020). Urban green space and health in low- and middle-income countries: A critical review. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 52*, 126662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126662
21. Sunyer, J. (2001). Urban air pollution and chronic respiratory diseases in adults. *European Respiratory Journal, 17*(5), 1024–1033.
22. Vanaken, G.-J., & Danckaerts, M. (2018). Impact of green space exposure on children's and adolescents' mental health: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15*(12), 2668. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15122668
23. Vinti, G., Bauza, V., Clasen, T., Medlicott, K., Tudor, T., Zurbrügg, C., & Vaccari, M. (2021). Municipal solid waste management and adverse health outcomes: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(8), 4331. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084331
24. Westbury, S., Ghosh, I., Jones, H. M., Mensah, D., Samuel, F., Irache, A., Azhar, N., Al-Khudairy, L., Iqbal, R., & Oyebode, O. (2021). The influence of the urban food environment on diet, nutrition and health outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *BMJ Global Health, 6*(10), e006358. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006358
25. Wies, B., Valls, I., Fernandes, A., Ubalde-López, M., Rocabois, A., Vrijheid, M., Slama, R., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2024). Urban environment and children's health: An umbrella review of exposure response functions for health impact assessment. *Environmental Research, 263*(Pt 2), 120084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2024.120084
26. World Health Organization. (2024, October 24). *Ambient (outdoor) air pollution*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-%28outdoor%29-air-quality-and-health
27. World Health Organization. (2025, March 19). *Urban health*. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/urban-health
28. World Health Organization. (n.d.). *Air pollution*. https://www.who.int/health-topics/air-pollution
29. World Health Organization. (n.d.). *Air pollution data portal*. https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/air-pollution
30. Wright, J., Gundry, S., & Conroy, R. (2004). Household drinking water in developing countries: A systematic review of microbiological contamination between source and point-of-use. *Tropical Medicine & International Health, 9*(1), 106–117. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3156.2003.01160.x
31. Ye, T., Yu, P., Wen, B., Yang, Z., Huang, W., Guo, Y., Abramson, M. J., & Li, S. (2022). Greenspace and health outcomes in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Environmental Pollution, 314*, 120193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120193
32. Ziraba, A. K., Haregu, T. N., & Mberu, B. (2016). A review and framework for understanding the potential impact of poor solid waste management on health in developing countries. *Archives of Public Health, 74*, 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-016-0166-4
33. United Nations Environment Programme. (n.d.). *Global Environment Outlook*. https://www.unep.org/resources/global-environment-outlook