ISSN:3030-332X
Impact factor: 9,493
International journal of scientific researchers
2nd International Conference on Green Economy & Sustainability 2.0, 30 April 2026

GREEN FINANCE, ESG, AND SUSTAINABLE ECONOMICS: CAPITAL MARKETS, CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY, AND THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE LOW-CARBON ECONOMY

Xodjayeva Yasmin[1],
Sharipova Roziya[2]

[1]Bachelor of Business Administration, Faculty of Management, Sharda University Uzbekistan
yasmin.khodjayeva@ug.shardauniversity.uz

[2]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Management, Sharda University Uzbekistan
roziya.sharipova@shardauniversity.uz

Abstract

Global capital markets are undergoing a structural transition toward sustainability driven by climate risk disclosure requirements, investor demand for environmental, social, and governance (ESG) accountability, and the economic imperative of decarbonisation. This paper examines the theoretical foundations and institutional evolution of green finance, ESG investing, and sustainable economics within the broader transformation of the global financial system.

The study analyzes the rapid expansion of green financial instruments, including green bonds, sustainability-linked bonds, and sustainable investment frameworks, while critically evaluating the limitations associated with ESG fragmentation, greenwashing risks, and inconsistent sustainability taxonomies. Drawing on evidence from capital markets, regulatory reforms, multilateral financial institutions, and carbon pricing systems, the paper explores the institutional reforms necessary to align financial systems with the objectives of the Paris Agreement and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

The findings indicate that although sustainable finance has achieved substantial institutional growth, significant challenges remain regarding transparency, methodological consistency, regulatory harmonization, and long-term climate effectiveness. The paper further argues that sustainable transformation requires stronger governance frameworks, improved disclosure standards, enhanced public-sector coordination, and more effective climate-oriented financial regulation.

The study concludes that green finance represents an important mechanism for supporting sustainable economic transition, but its effectiveness depends on the integration of financial innovation with robust institutional governance and long-term environmental accountability.

Keywords: Green Finance, ESG Investing, Sustainable Economics, Climate Risk, Carbon Pricing, Sustainable Finance Taxonomy, Green Bonds, Net Zero

1. Introduction

The restructuring of financial systems to support ecological sustainability has become one of the most important economic and regulatory challenges of the twenty-first century. Climate change, environmental degradation, and the transition toward low-carbon economic systems have increasingly transformed the priorities of governments, financial institutions, corporations, and international organizations.

Green finance and ESG investing have emerged as central mechanisms within this transformation. Financial markets increasingly incorporate environmental and social considerations into investment decision-making, corporate governance, and risk management frameworks. Sustainability reporting, climate disclosure mandates, and carbon-transition strategies are no longer viewed solely as ethical concerns but as financially material factors influencing long-term institutional stability and investment performance.

The growth of sustainable finance has accelerated significantly during the past decade. Sovereign wealth funds, pension funds, multilateral development banks, and private institutional investors increasingly direct capital toward sustainable assets and climate-related investment opportunities. Simultaneously, central banks and regulatory institutions have begun integrating climate risk into prudential supervision and financial stability analysis.

Despite this rapid expansion, the field remains highly contested. Critics argue that ESG methodologies frequently lack consistency, transparency, and scientific rigor. Concerns regarding greenwashing, fragmented sustainability standards, and weak accountability mechanisms continue to challenge the credibility of sustainable finance.

This paper examines the evolution of green finance, the institutional development of ESG investing, and the broader emergence of sustainable economics as an interdisciplinary framework. The study also analyzes the governance reforms and institutional structures necessary for aligning global financial systems with long-term climate and sustainability objectives.

2. The Architecture of Green Finance

Green finance refers to financial instruments, institutions, and market mechanisms designed to support environmental sustainability and climate-transition objectives.

Although ethical investing traditions existed long before the modern sustainability movement, contemporary green finance emerged more systematically following the issuance of the European Investment Bank's Climate Awareness Bond in 2007 and the World Bank's green bond issuance in 2008. These developments established the institutional foundation for the modern green bond market. Pavani and Raju (2025) provide a comprehensive review of green finance literature, examining the evolution of sustainable financial practices, green bonds, ESG integration, regulatory frameworks, and the progress of G20 nations toward environmental sustainability goals.

Green bonds are structured to finance projects related to renewable energy, sustainable infrastructure, energy efficiency, climate adaptation, and environmental protection. The rapid expansion of green bond issuance reflects growing investor demand for sustainability-linked financial products.

By 2023, global green bond issuance exceeded USD 500 billion annually, while cumulative issuance surpassed USD 2.5 trillion worldwide. The market now includes sovereign governments, supranational organizations, municipalities, corporations, and financial institutions.

Alongside green bonds, additional sustainable financial instruments have emerged, including:

- sustainability-linked bonds,
- transition bonds,
- green loans,
- climate-focused investment funds,
- impact investing vehicles.

These instruments seek to support decarbonisation and sustainable development while simultaneously generating financial returns.

2.1 Green Lending and Sustainable Banking

Commercial banking institutions increasingly integrate sustainability principles into lending and investment operations.

Green lending frameworks allow banks to allocate financing toward environmentally sustainable projects while strengthening institutional sustainability credentials. Many international banks have publicly committed to achieving net-zero portfolio alignment by 2050 through initiatives such as the Net-Zero Banking Alliance.

Central banks have also expanded their involvement in climate-related financial governance. The Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS) now includes more than 130 central banks and supervisory authorities cooperating on climate risk analysis and sustainable financial regulation.

The incorporation of climate criteria into monetary policy frameworks and prudential supervision demonstrates that environmental sustainability is becoming increasingly integrated into core financial governance structures.

3. ESG Investing: Frameworks, Challenges, and Limitations

Environmental, social, and governance investing has become the dominant framework through which institutional investors incorporate non-financial considerations into portfolio management and corporate evaluation.

Global ESG assets under management now represent a substantial share of total professionally managed assets worldwide. ESG investing encompasses a wide range of strategies, including:

- negative screening,
- positive screening,
- thematic investing,
- ESG integration,
- shareholder stewardship,
- impact investing.

These approaches differ significantly in methodology, objectives, and implementation practices.

3.1 The ESG Ratings Problem

One of the most significant challenges confronting ESG investing is the inconsistency of ESG ratings across different providers.

Research demonstrates that ESG ratings assigned to the same corporation frequently vary considerably between agencies due to differences in weighting systems, data sources, scoring methodologies, and aggregation techniques.

This methodological fragmentation creates substantial practical problems for investors, regulators, and policymakers. Asset managers may construct portfolios considered highly sustainable under one rating methodology but significantly weaker under another.

The absence of standardized ESG evaluation frameworks reduces comparability and weakens accountability within sustainable finance markets.

3.2 Greenwashing and Sustainability Credibility

Greenwashing represents another major challenge within sustainable finance.

Greenwashing occurs when corporations or financial institutions exaggerate or misrepresent the sustainability characteristics of products, investments, or operational activities.

The rapid expansion of ESG-labelled investment products has often outpaced regulatory oversight, creating incentives for institutions to market products as sustainable without implementing substantial environmental transformation.

Regulatory frameworks such as the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) were introduced to improve transparency and reduce misleading sustainability claims. However, practical implementation has revealed significant definitional ambiguities and classification difficulties.

The persistence of greenwashing concerns demonstrates the need for stronger disclosure requirements, standardized sustainability reporting, and more robust institutional accountability mechanisms.

4. Sustainable Economics and Ecological Transformation

Sustainable economics represents a broader intellectual framework that challenges conventional assumptions regarding economic growth, resource consumption, and environmental externalities.

Ecological economists argue that traditional economic models frequently treat environmental systems as external inputs rather than recognizing them as foundational conditions for economic activity.

Scholars such as Herman Daly and Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen emphasized that infinite economic growth within finite ecological systems creates structural contradictions that threaten long-term sustainability.

The concept of the steady-state economy proposes that economic systems should operate within the regenerative and absorptive capacities of the biosphere. More recently, degrowth theory has gained increased academic attention as researchers question whether decoupling economic growth from material resource consumption can occur at the scale and speed required by climate science.

4.1 Carbon Pricing and Decarbonisation

Carbon pricing remains one of the central policy instruments within environmental economics.

Carbon taxes and emissions trading systems seek to internalize the social cost of carbon emissions by incorporating environmental costs into market pricing mechanisms.

The European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) represents the largest and most developed carbon market globally. Reforms implemented through the Fit for 55 legislative package significantly increased carbon prices and strengthened decarbonisation incentives.

Despite these developments, major debates remain regarding:

- the adequacy of current carbon prices,
- carbon leakage risks,
- political resistance to pricing reforms,
- fairness of emissions allocation systems,
- long-term effectiveness of market-based climate mechanisms.

Many researchers argue that prevailing carbon prices remain substantially below estimated social costs of carbon emissions.

5. Governance, Taxonomy, and Public Institutions

The development of sustainable finance taxonomies has become one of the most significant regulatory initiatives within green finance.

The European Union Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities established legally binding technical criteria for determining whether economic activities qualify as environmentally sustainable.

The taxonomy framework aims to:

- reduce greenwashing,
- improve sustainability disclosure,
- strengthen investor confidence,
- standardize sustainable investment classification,
- direct capital toward climate-aligned activities.

However, implementation challenges remain substantial. Critics have highlighted concerns regarding regulatory complexity, compliance costs, and political disagreements surrounding the classification of activities such as natural gas and nuclear energy.

Several countries and regional blocs are now developing independent sustainability taxonomies, raising concerns regarding international fragmentation and inconsistent regulatory standards.

5.1 Role of Multilateral Development Banks

Multilateral development banks (MDBs) play an increasingly important role in mobilizing sustainable finance.

Institutions such as the World Bank, European Investment Bank, Asian Development Bank, and African Development Bank utilize blended finance structures that combine concessional public capital with private investment.

These arrangements reduce investment risk and encourage private-sector participation in sustainable infrastructure projects, particularly within emerging economies.

Scaling blended finance mechanisms is widely considered essential for addressing the substantial global investment gap associated with sustainable development and climate-transition infrastructure.

6. Conclusion

Green finance has evolved into a major component of the modern global financial system. The expansion of green bonds, ESG investing, climate-related disclosure frameworks, and sustainable financial regulation reflects a significant institutional shift toward integrating environmental considerations into financial governance.

However, the study demonstrates that substantial structural weaknesses continue to limit the effectiveness of sustainable finance. ESG fragmentation, inconsistent sustainability taxonomies, weak disclosure comparability, and persistent greenwashing risks undermine institutional credibility and

reduce the effectiveness of climate-oriented capital allocation.

The evidence further suggests that financial innovation alone is insufficient for achieving large-scale sustainable transformation. Public institutions, regulators, and international organizations must play a stronger role in establishing coherent disclosure standards, strengthening sustainability governance, pricing carbon effectively, and aligning financial regulation with long-term climate objectives.

Green finance should therefore be understood not as a complete solution to climate change, but as one component within a broader institutional transformation requiring regulatory reform, technological innovation, political coordination, and economic restructuring.

Ultimately, the long-term success of sustainable finance depends on the ability of financial systems to align profitability, accountability, and ecological sustainability within a coherent and enforceable governance framework.

References

1. Berg, F., Kölbel, J. F., & Rigobon, R. (2022). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. *Review of Finance, 26*(6), 1315–1344.
2. Bloomberg Intelligence. (2024). *ESG assets may hit $53 trillion by 2025 under half of all managed assets*. Bloomberg L.P.
3. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2024). *Green bond market summary 2023*. Climate Bonds Initiative.
4. Daly, H. E. (1996). *Beyond growth: The economics of sustainable development*. Beacon Press.
5. Dyck, A., Lins, K. V., Roth, L., & Wagner, H. F. (2019). Do institutional investors drive corporate social responsibility? International evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics, 131*(3), 693–714.
6. European Commission. (2020). *Regulation (EU) 2020/852 on the establishment of a framework to facilitate sustainable investment (EU taxonomy regulation).* Official Journal of the European Union.
7. European Commission. (2021). *Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector (SFDR).* Official Journal of the European Union.
8. Flammer, C. (2021). Corporate green bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics, 142*(2), 499–516.
9. Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1971). *The entropy law and the economic process*. Harvard University Press.
10. IPCC. (2023). *Climate change 2023: Synthesis report*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
11. Network for Greening the Financial System. (2023). *NGFS climate scenarios for central banks and supervisors*. NGFS.
12. Nordhaus, W. D. (2017). Revisiting the social cost of carbon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114*(7), 1518–1523.
13. Pavani, T. G., & Raju, N. S. V. N. (2025). A comprehensive literature review on green finance: Special reference to G20 nations. In *Navigating Green Economy through Innovations, Policies and Strategies on Sustainable Practices, 1* (p. 522).
14. Sachs, J. D., Woo, W. T., Yoshino, N., & Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2019). *Handbook of green finance: Energy security and sustainable development*. Springer.
15. Stern, N. (2007). *The economics of climate change: The Stern review*. Cambridge University Press.
16. UNEP FI. (2023). *Principles for responsible banking: Progress report*. United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative.
17. World Bank. (2023). *State and trends of carbon pricing 2023*. World Bank Group.